## List of consultation questions

- 1. What key factors should be considered when developing national standards to ensure they deliver improved outcomes and experiences for children and young people with SEND and their families? This includes how the standards apply across education, health and care in a 0-25 system.
- 2. How should we develop the proposal for new local SEND partnerships to oversee the effective development of local inclusion plans whilst avoiding placing unnecessary burdens or duplicating current partnerships?
- 3. What factors would enable local authorities to successfully commission provision for low-incidence high cost need, and further education, across local authority boundaries?
- 4. What components of the EHCP should we consider reviewing or amending as we move to a standardised and digitised version?
- 5. How can parents and local authorities most effectively work together to produce a tailored list of placements that is appropriate for their child, and gives parents confidence in the EHCP process?
- 6. To what extent do you agree or disagree with our overall approach to strengthen redress, including through national standards and mandatory mediation? Strongly Agree, Agree, Neither Agree nor Disagree, Disagree, Strongly Disagree
- If you selected Disagree or Strongly Disagree, please tell us why, specifying the components you disagree with and alternatives or exceptions, particularly to mandatory mediation.
- 7. Do you consider the current remedies available to the SEND Tribunal for disabled children who have been discriminated against by schools effective in putting children and young people's education back on track? Please give a reason for your answer with examples, if possible.
- 8. What steps should be taken to strengthen early years practice with regard to conducting the two-year-old progress check and integration with the Healthy Child Programme review?
- 9. To what extent do you agree or disagree that we should introduce a new mandatory SENCo NPQ to replace the NASENCo? Strongly Agree, Agree, Neither Agree or Disagree, Disagree, Strongly Disagree
- If you selected Disagree or Strongly Disagree, please tell us why.
- 10. To what extent do you agree that we should strengthen the mandatory SENCo training requirement by requiring that headteachers must be satisfied that the SENCo is in the process of obtaining the relevant qualification when taking on the role? Strongly Agree, Agree, Neither Agree nor Disagree, Disagree, Strongly Disagree
- If you selected Disagree or Strongly Disagree, please tell us why
- 11. To what extent do you agree or disagree that both specialist and mixed MATs should be allowed to coexist in the fully trust-led future? This would allow current local authority maintained special schools and alternative provision settings to join either type of MAT. Strongly Agree, Agree, Neither Agree nor Disagree, Disagree, Strongly Disagree If you selected Disagree or Strongly Disagree, please tell us why
- 12. What more can be done by employers, providers and government to ensure that those young people with SEND can access, participate in and be supported to achieve an apprenticeship, including through access routes like traineeships?

- 13. To what extent do you agree or disagree that this new vision for alternative provision will result in improved outcomes for children and young people? Strongly Agree, Agree, Neither Agree nor Disagree, Disagree, Strongly Disagree If you selected Disagree or Strongly Disagree, please tell us why
- 14. What needs to be in place in order to distribute existing funding more effectively to alternative provision schools, to ensure they have the financial stability required to deliver our vision for more early intervention and re-integration?
- 15. To what extent do you agree or disagree that introducing a bespoke alternative provision performance framework, based on these 5 outcomes, will improve the quality of alternative provision? Strongly Agree, Agree, Neither Agree nor Disagree, Disagree, Strongly Disagree If you selected Disagree or Strongly Disagree, please tell us why
- 16. To what extent do you agree or disagree that a statutory framework for pupil movements will improve oversight and transparency of placements into and out of alternative provision? Strongly Agree, Agree, Neither Agree nor Disagree, Disagree, Strongly Disagree If you selected Disagree or Strongly Disagree, please tell us why
- 17. What are the key metrics we should capture and use to measure local and national performance? Please explain why you have selected these.
- 18. How can we best develop a national framework for funding bands and tariffs to achieve our objectives and mitigate unintended consequences and risks?
- 19. How can the National SEND Delivery Board work most effectively with local partnerships to ensure the proposals are implemented successfully?
- 20. What will make the biggest difference to successful implementation of these proposals? What do you see as the barriers to and enablers of success?
- 21. What support do local systems and delivery partners need to successfully transition and deliver the new national system?
- 22. Is there anything else you would like to say about the proposals in the green paper?